Ultraviolet Radiation and Human Health

UVA, UVB, UVC — Effects, Exposure, and Protection

Prepared August 25th, 2026 by Constantin Bucataru

The Three UV Bands

Ultraviolet radiation is divided into UVA (315–400 nm), UVB (280–315 nm), and UVC (100–280 nm) [1,11]. UVA penetrates deepest into the skin and readily passes through window glass, so it contributes to exposure even indoors; it typically delivers 20–30 times more energy to skin than UVB [12]. UVB is mostly absorbed by the ozone layer but reaches the earth in biologically significant amounts and drives sunburn, DNA damage, and vitamin D synthesis [1,11]. UVC is essentially absent from natural sunlight because ozone blocks it; human exposure comes mainly from artificial germicidal lamps, welding arcs, and other industrial sources [1,13,14].

Effects on the Skin

Acute overexposure causes erythema (sunburn) appearing 4–12 h after sun exposure; with photosensitizing drugs (e.g., some antibiotics, diuretics, NSAIDs), UVA reactions can peak even later [1]. Chronic exposure causes photoaging (wrinkling, mottled pigmentation), actinic keratoses, and skin cancers. Cumulative lifetime sun exposure drives squamous cell carcinoma, while intermittent burning exposures—the pattern typical of holidays and outdoor recreation—are the major risk factor for melanoma; childhood sun exposure carries lifelong risk [1]. Excessive UVB also damages DNA directly and initiates most of these cancers [3,9].

Effects on the Immune System

UV (mainly UVB, with contributions from UVA) suppresses local and systemic immunity by damaging DNA in skin cells and isomerizing urocanic acid, depleting epidermal Langerhans cells, shifting T-cell responses toward suppression, and inhibiting natural killer cell activity [2,3]. A single dose equivalent to ~1 h of noon summer sun at mid-latitudes can suppress contact hypersensitivity in most people [2,3]. This immunosuppression is relevant to skin cancer progression and can reactivate infections such as herpes simplex (cold sores) [2].

Effects on the Eyes

UVB exposure causes acute photokeratitis ("snow blindness") and, cumulatively, cortical and posterior subcapsular cataracts, pterygium, and climatic droplet keratopathy; dose–response studies in outdoor workers show risk of cortical cataract rising ~1.6-fold per doubling of cumulative UVB exposure [5]. Children's lenses transmit more UV than adults', making early-life eye protection important [4].

Common Situations

Outdoors, personal exposure is driven more by behavior than by ambient levels: walking in tree shade can cut a 2-hour dose to a fraction of that received in open sun, while driving with an open window can produce surprisingly high arm exposure [10]. Schoolchildren spend ~2.3 h/day outdoors and receive most of their dose during physical education, athletics, and lunch breaks; open sport fields give the highest exposures, whereas greenery and shaded settings protect while still allowing vigorous play [13,14]. Indoors, UVA through windows and UV from sunbeds, halogen lamps, and upper-room germicidal UVC fixtures add further dose [3,12]. Accidental overexposure to UVC germicidal lamps (e.g., in a hospital pharmacy) can exceed ICNIRP limits by >100-fold and cause severe, long-lasting photokeratoconjunctivitis and skin injury, so interlocks and safe installation are essential [6–8].

Because personal UV dose depends so heavily on posture, shade, time of day, and reflective surfaces, ambient UV-index reports alone are often a poor guide. Real-time personal monitoring that tracks the wavelengths most relevant to skin and eye damage helps individuals see when their actual exposure is rising—even on partly cloudy days or in unexpected microenvironments.

Mitigation Strategies

Effective protection combines: seeking shade and avoiding outdoor activity in the 4–6 h around solar noon; wearing broad-brimmed hats (which halve ocular UV), UV-blocking sunglasses (many "UV-coated" lenses transmit substantial UVA, so verify blocking to <400 nm), and covering clothing; and applying broad-spectrum (UVA+UVB) sunscreen, re-applied generously, since under-application is common [1,3–5]. Short, moderate exposure (e.g., 10–15 min of face/hands) retains vitamin D benefits while minimizing risk [1].

Benefits of Portable UV Monitoring

UV is invisible and cannot be sensed by heat, and personal dose varies with body site, posture, shade, clouds, and reflective surfaces—often differing from the local UV index by >30% [11,12]. Wearable electronic and colorimetric dosimeters provide real-time or cumulative, skin-type–specific dose readouts (UVA and UVB separately), alert users before the daily minimal erythema dose is reached, and can even verify sunscreen effectiveness [11,12]. Personal dosimetry in real settings has shown that static room measurements overestimate true occupant exposure to upper-room UVC by up to 30-fold, enabling safer, more effective disinfection design [8], and has identified the specific school activities and play settings where interventions will yield the greatest benefit [13,14].

Accurate, portable sensors focused on the biologically relevant UVB range (and the broader harmful spectrum) turn this invisible hazard into actionable data. Users can receive timely alerts, learn which daily routines drive their dose, and confirm that protective measures are working—without having to rely solely on weather-service UV indexes or delayed skin reactions.

Practical Implications

The evidence is clear that both acute and chronic UV exposure carry measurable risks to skin, eyes, and immune function, while moderate, carefully timed exposure supports vitamin D synthesis. Because personal dose is highly variable and invisible, continuous or wearable monitoring that captures the wavelengths that matter most offers a practical way to stay within safe limits in real-world conditions—outdoors, near windows, or around artificial UV sources.

References

[1] R. M. MacKie, *"Effects of Ultraviolet Radiation on Human Health"*, Radiation Protection Dosimetry, vol. 91, no. 1, pp. 15–18, 2000. DOI: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.rpd.a033186

[2] M. Norval, *"Effects of solar radiation on the human immune system"*, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B, vol. 63, no. 1–3, pp. 28–40, 2001. DOI: 10.1016/s1011-1344(01)00200-7

[3] M. S. Duthie, I. Kimber, and M. Norval, *"The effects of ultraviolet radiation on the human immune system"*, British Journal of Dermatology, vol. 140, no. 6, pp. 995–1009, 1999. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2133.1999.02898.x

[4] F. J. van Kuijk, *"Effects of ultraviolet light on the eye: role of protective glasses"*, Environmental Health Perspectives, vol. 96, pp. 177–184, 1991. DOI: 10.1289/ehp.9196177

[5] H. R. Taylor, *"The biological effects of UV-B on the eye"*, Photochemistry and Photobiology, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 489–492, 1989. DOI: 10.1111/j.1751-1097.1989.tb05553.x

[6] S. Zaffina, V. Camisa, M. Lembo, et al., *"Accidental exposure to UV radiation produced by germicidal lamp: case report and risk assessment"*, Photochemistry and Photobiology, vol. 88, no. 4, pp. 1001–1004, 2012. DOI: 10.1111/j.1751-1097.2012.01151.x

[7] D. H. Sliney and B. E. Stuck, *"A need to revise human exposure limits for ultraviolet UV-C radiation"*, Photochemistry and Photobiology, vol. 97, no. 3, pp. 485–492, 2021. DOI: 10.1111/php.13402

[8] M. W. First, R. A. Weker, S. Yasui, and E. A. Nardell, *"Monitoring human exposures to upper-room germicidal ultraviolet irradiation"*, Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 285–292, 2005. DOI: 10.1080/15459620590952224

[9] P. D. Forbes, C. A. Cole, and F. de Gruijl, *"Origins and evolution of photocarcinogenesis action spectra, including germicidal UVC"*, Photochemistry and Photobiology, vol. 97, no. 3, pp. 477–484, 2021. DOI: 10.1111/php.13371

[10] B. L. Diffey and P. J. Saunders, *"Behavior outdoors and its effects on personal ultraviolet exposure rate measured using an ambulatory datalogging dosimeter"*, Photochemistry and Photobiology, vol. 61, no. 6, pp. 615–618, 1995. DOI: 10.1111/j.1751-1097.1995.tb09877.x

[11] W. Zou, M. Sastry, J. J. Gooding, R. Ramanathan, and V. Bansal, *"Recent advances and a roadmap to wearable UV sensor technologies"*, Advanced Materials Technologies, vol. 5, no. 4, 2020. DOI: 10.1002/admt.201901036

[12] Y. Shi, M. Manco, D. Moyal, et al., *"Soft, stretchable, epidermal sensor with integrated electronics and photochemistry for measuring personal UV exposures"*, PLoS ONE, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. e0190233, 2018. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0190233

[13] C. Y. Wright, A. I. Reeder, G. E. Bodeker, A. Gray, and B. Cox, *"Solar UVR exposure, concurrent activities and sun-protective practices among primary schoolchildren"*, Photochemistry and Photobiology, vol. 83, no. 3, pp. 749–758, 2007. DOI: 10.1562/2006-08-22-ra-1010

[14] P. Pagels, U. Wester, F. Mårtensson, et al., *"Pupils' use of school outdoor play settings across seasons and its relation to sun exposure and physical activity"*, Photodermatology, Photoimmunology & Photomedicine, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 365–372, 2020. DOI: 10.1111/phpp.12558